ESTABLISHMENT OF RAINFALL INTENSITY-DURATION-FREQUENCY CURVES OF KHULNA

Sabrina Rashid Sheonty*1 and G M Tarekul Islam²

¹Lecturer, Military Institute of Science and Technology, Bangladesh, e-mail: sheonty077@gmail.com ²Professor, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh, e-mail: tarek@iwfm.buet.ac.bd

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

The Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) is one of the most important hydrologic tools used by engineers for designing drainage and flood control structures in urban areas. Local IDF equations are often estimated on the basis of records of intensities abstracted from daily rainfall depths of different durations, observed at a given recording rainfall gauging station. Because in developing countries like Bangladesh short duration rainfall is scarce and only daily rainfall data is available. In such cases, design rainfall approximation isn't accurate which can lead to failure of drainage system. Khulna is an important city of Bangladesh which is situated at the southern part of the country. It is the thirdlargest city in Bangladesh. It is the administrative seat of Khulna District and Khulna Division. Because of having the largest seaport of the country, it is an important hub for the industry and economy of Bangladesh. In this paper, the time scale invariance of rainfall of Khulna are investigated and Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves for this city is determined. For this process, three empirical equations are used and the results are compared to find out the best fitted rainfall intensity method for the study area. This method allows for the determination of the design value of rainfall of selected return period and durations shorter than a day by using only the daily data. Firstly, 62 years of rainfall data (1948-2010) are collected from BMD and the quality of data is analyzed. The homogeneity, consistancy, randomness of data are checked and fitted to Gumbel Type 1 Extreme Value distribution function. The maximum rainfall intensities for 2 year, 5 year, 10 year, 25 year, 50 year and 100 year return period were calculated using Gumbel distribution. Design rainfall from those analyses are used to calculate short duration rainfall intensity by using three different equations : Talbot, Sherman and Kimjima equation. Among these three empirical formulas, least-square method is applied to determine the best fitted rainfall intensity method for the study area. From the RMSE values of these three equations, it is concluded that Sherman equation is best fitted for this region. Finally, a 2hour-5year hyetograph is generated for Khulna region using the Sherman formula.

Keywords: IDF curve, Gumble distribution, Khulna, RMSE value, Short duration data.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) is one of the most commonly used tools used by water resources engineers for planning, designing and operating drainage and flood control structures in urban areas. The establishment of such relationships was done before by Sherman (1905) and Bernard (1932). Since then, many relationships have been constructed for several parts of the globe. Hershfiled (1961) developed various rainfall contour maps to provide the design rain depths for various return periods and durations. Bell (1969) and Chen (1983) derived the IDF formulae for the United States, Kouthyari & Garde (1992) presented a relationship between rainfall intensity and duration for India.

Local IDF equations are generated from the records of intensities abstracted from rainfall depths of different durations, observed at a given recording rainfall gauging station. But only in some regions, these rainfall gauging stations are operating for a long time which produce a good amount and quality of data in order to produce reliable IDF relationship for the area. But mostly in developing countries, these rainfall gauging station doesn't exist or the sample size is too small to generate the IDF curve for the region. Specially in south asian subcontinent region and in Bangladesh, this problem is quite prominent. In this regard, research has focused on the mathematical representation of rainfall both in time and space, in which Gupta & Waymire (1990), Burlando & Russo (1996), Menabde et al. (1999), De Michele et al. (2002), Pao-Shan-Yu et al. (2004) and Nhat et al. (2006) showed the scaling invariance models to derive IDF characteristics of short duration rainfall from daily data.

Earlier, in Bangladesh different IDF curves were developed for different parts of Bangladesh. Matin et al. (1984) developed IDF curve for North-East region of Bangladesh. Recently, Rasel et al. (2015) developed IDF for for North-West region Bangladesh, Chowdhury et al. (2007), Rashid et al. (2012) developed IDF for Sylhet city, Afrin et al. (2015) developed IDF for Dhaka city. Khulna is also an important divisional city of Bangladesh shown in Figure 1. This paper aims to analyse the time scale invariance of rainfall of Khulna and develop Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) relationships for this city.



Figure 1 : Map of Study Area (Khulna)

2. METHODOLOGY

For this study, 62 years of rainfall data (1948-2010) are collected from BMD for Khulna city and the quality of data is analyzed. The homogeneity, consistency, randomness of data is checked and fitted to Gumbel Type 1 Extreme Value Distribution function. The maximum rainfall intensities for 2 years, 5 years, 10 years, 25 years, 50 years and 100 year return period were calculated using Gumbel distribution. Design rainfall from those analyses are used to calculate rainfall intensity by using three different equations: Talbot, Sherman and Kimjima equation. Among these three empirical formulas, least-square method is applied to determine the best fitted rainfall intensity method for the study area. From the RMSE values of these three equations, it is concluded that Sherman equation is best fitted for this region.

2.1 Generalized IDF relationship

According to Koutsoyiannis et al. (1998), the generalized IDF relationships are shown in equation (1) where i is the rainfall intensity of duration d, and w, v, θ , and η are non-negative coefficients.

$$i = \frac{w}{(d^{\nu} + \theta)^{\eta}} \tag{1}$$

Koutsoyannis et al. (1998) also showed that the errors resulting from imposing v=1 in equation (1) are much smaller than the typical parameter and quantile estimation errors from limited size samples of data and considering $v\neq 1$ as a model over parameterization. Thus, Koutsoyannis et al. (1998) suggested for a given return period the general IDF relationships as

$$i = \frac{w}{(d+\theta)^{\eta}} \tag{2}$$

The coefficients w, θ , and η are not independent on the return period and this dependence cannot be arbitrary. The IDF curves for different return periods cannot intersect each other. This restriction, the range of variation of parameters w, θ , and η are limited. If {w₁, θ_1 , η_1 } and { w₂, θ_2 , η_2 } denote the parameter sets for return periods T₁ and T₂ respectively, with T₂<T₁, Koutsoyannis et al. (1998) suggest the following restrictions shown in equation (3).

$$\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta > 0; \ 0 < \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta < 1; \ w_1 > w_2 > 0 \tag{3}$$

In these restrictions, the only parameter that can consistently increase with increasing return periods is w and these arguments justify the formulation of the following general model for IDF relationship shown in equation (4).

$$i = \frac{a(T)}{b(d)} \tag{4}$$

which exhibits the great advantage of expressing separable relations between i and T, and between i and d. In equation (4), $b(d)=(d+\theta)^{\eta}$ with $\theta>0$ and $0<\eta<1$, whereas a(T) is completely defined by the probability distribution function of the maximum rainfall intensities.

3. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

3.1 Gumble Type 1 Extreme Value Distribution

The data quality was good and normally distributed and Gumble Type 1 Extreme value distribution fits well in the rainfall intensities of 24 hours. The frequency analysis was done by Gumble's distribution using following equations:

 $X_T = u + \alpha y_T$

5th International Conference on Civil Engineering for Sustainable Development (ICCESD 2020), Bangladesh

$$\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} - 0.5772\alpha \tag{6}$$

$$\alpha = S_x \left(\sqrt{6/\pi} \right) \tag{7}$$

$$y_{\rm T} = -\ln(-\ln(1-1/{\rm T}))$$
 (8)

The frequency analysis was done for 2 years, 5 years, 10 years, 20 years, 30 years, 50 years and 100 year return period. For example, Gumble distribution for 100 year return period is shown in table 1.

| Da ys | Mean (mm) | Standard Deviation | a | u | Т | ут | X _T (mm) | Hours | Intens ity (mm/h r) |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| 1D | 134.54 | 70.72 | 55.14 | 102.72 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 356.37 | 24.00 | 14.85 |
| 2D | 179.35 | 84.35 | 65.76 | 141.39 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 443.91 | 48.00 | 9.25 |
| 3D | 205.85 | 98.42 | 76.74 | 161.55 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 514.55 | 72.00 | 7.15 |
| 4D | 226.84 | 102.04 | 79.56 | 180.92 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 546.91 | 96.00 | 5.70 |
| 5D | 243.95 | 103.56 | 80.74 | 197.34 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 568.78 | 120.00 | 4.74 |
| 6D | 257.97 | 107.26 | 83.63 | 209.70 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 594.42 | 144.00 | 4.13 |
| 7D | 273.85 | 109.76 | 85.58 | 224.46 | 100.00 | 4.60 | 618.14 | 164.00 | 3.77 |

Table 1: Gumble distribution for 100 years return period for Khulna

3.2 Khulna IDF curve from long duration data

From the daily rainfall data, Gumble's distribution is done 2 years, 5 years, 10 years, 20 years, 30 years, 50 years and 100 year return period and shown in table 2.

| Hours | Intensity (2Year return period) | Intensity (5Year return period) | Intensity (10Year return period) | Intensity (20Year return period) | Intensity (30Year return period) | Intensity (50Year return period) | Intensity (100Year return period) |
|-------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 24 | 5.12 | 7.73 | 9.45 | 11.10 | 12.06 | 13.24 | 14.85 |
| 48 | 3.45 | 5.00 | 6.03 | 7.02 | 7.58 | 8.29 | 9.25 |
| 72 | 2.63 | 3.84 | 4.64 | 5.41 | 5.85 | 6.40 | 7.15 |
| 96 | 2.19 | 3.13 | 3.75 | 4.35 | 4.69 | 5.12 | 5.70 |
| 120 | 1.89 | 2.65 | 3.16 | 3.64 | 3.92 | 4.27 | 4.74 |
| 144 | 1.67 | 2.33 | 2.76 | 3.18 | 3.42 | 3.72 | 4.13 |
| 168 | 1.56 | 2.15 | 2.54 | 2.92 | 3.13 | 3.40 | 3.77 |

Table 2: Rainfall Intensity chart of Khulna for different return period

From this table long duration IDF curve is generated for Khulna which is shown in Figure 2. From this figure, it is seen that the highest rainfall intensity is 14.85mm/hr for 100 year return period for duration of 24 hours. For the same duration but 2 year return period the rainfall intensity is noted 5.12 mm/hr.



Figure 2 : IDF curve for Khulna for long duration data

3.3 Conversion of long duration data to short duration data using three empirical equations

The IDF formulas are empirical equations representing a relationship among maximum rainfall intensity (as dependent variable) and other parameters such as rainfall duration and frequency (as dependent variable). There are some commonly used formulas found in literature of hydrology applications (Chow et al., 1988). Some of the equations are shown in equation (9), (10), (11) which describe rainfall intensity duration relationship:

Talbot equation:

$$i = \frac{a}{d+b} \tag{9}$$

Sherman equation :

$$i = \frac{a}{(b+d)^e} \tag{10}$$

Kimjima equation:

$$i = \frac{a}{d^e + b} \tag{11}$$

Where i is the rainfall intensity(mm/hour), d is the duration(hour) and a, b, e are the constant parameters of related metrological conditions.

For Talbot, Sherman and Kimjima equation, the value of the constant parameters a, b,e are estimated using the long duration data for 2 year, 5 year, 10 year, 20 year, 30 year, 50 year, 100 year return period. From the estimated value of parameters for different return period, the rainfall intensity is converted to short duration data for 2 year, 5 year, 10 year, 20 year, 30 year, 50 year, 100 year return period. The results from Talbot and Sherman equation is shown in table 3 & 4.

| Hr | Intensity 2 RT | Intensity 5 RT | Intensity 10 RT | Intensity 20 RT | Intensity 30 RT | Intensity 50 RT | Intensity 100 RT |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 0.25 | 8.2461 | 13.5477 | 17.2110 | 20.7895 | 22.8693 | 25.4861 | 29.040 |
| 0.5 | 8.2451 | 13.5097 | 17.1587 | 20.7228 | 22.7939 | 25.3997 | 28.938 |
| 1 | 8.2432 | 13.4344 | 17.0551 | 20.5906 | 22.6448 | 25.2289 | 28.737 |
| 1.5 | 8.2413 | 13.3598 | 16.9528 | 20.4601 | 22.4975 | 25.0603 | 28.540 |
| 2 | 8.2394 | 13.1412 | 16.6531 | 20.0783 | 22.0670 | 24.5677 | 27.962 |
| 3 | 8.2356 | 12.7246 | 16.0843 | 19.3559 | 21.2537 | 23.6386 | 26.873 |
| 5 | 8.2280 | 12.3337 | 15.5532 | 18.6836 | 20.4981 | 22.7771 | 25.866 |
| 6 | 8.2243 | 11.9661 | 15.0559 | 18.0565 | 19.7945 | 21.9763 | 24.932 |
| 12 | 8.2016 | 9.6621 | 11.9896 | 14.2344 | 15.5296 | 17.1517 | 19.344 |
| 24 | 8.1568 | 7.4969 | 9.1839 | 10.8040 | 11.7366 | 12.9027 | 14.476 |

Table 3 : Conversion of Short Duration data by Talbot formula for different return period

Table 4 : Conversion of Short Duration data by Sherman formula for different return period

| Hr | Intensity 2 RT | Intensity 5 RT | Intensity 10 RT | Intensity 20 RT | Intensity 30 RT | Intensity 50 RT | Intensity 100 RT |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 0.25 | 89.5971 | 165.1596 | 218.1502 | 270.2414 | 300.6037 | 338.8674 | 390.907 |
| 0.5 | 58.1434 | 103.9776 | 135.7576 | 166.8429 | 184.9126 | 207.6463 | 238.511 |
| 1 | 37.7318 | 65.4599 | 84.4836 | 103.0062 | 113.7467 | 127.2385 | 145.527 |
| 1.5 | 29.2992 | 49.9366 | 64.0140 | 77.6867 | 85.6042 | 95.5418 | 109.001 |
| 2 | 24.4858 | 41.2108 | 52.5752 | 63.5944 | 69.9698 | 77.9674 | 88.793 |
| 3 | 19.0135 | 31.4380 | 39.8367 | 47.9625 | 52.6584 | 58.5448 | 66.507 |
| 5 | 13.8250 | 22.3538 | 28.0850 | 33.6162 | 36.8084 | 40.8067 | 46.210 |
| 6 | 12.3387 | 19.7921 | 24.7909 | 29.6113 | 32.3921 | 35.8742 | 40.579 |
| 12 | 8.0071 | 12.4602 | 15.4277 | 18.2816 | 19.9256 | 21.9825 | 24.759 |
| 24 | 5.1961 | 7.8445 | 9.6008 | 11.2868 | 12.2570 | 13.4701 | 15.107 |

3.4 RMSE value calculation

The RMSE value is estimated for Talbot, Sherman and Kimjima formula for all the mentioned return periods and the average RMSE values of the equations are shown in table 5.

| Equation | RMSE value |
|----------|-------------|
| Talbot | 0.162724769 |
| Sherman | 0.093710522 |
| Kimjima | 0.176516145 |

Table 5: RMSE value comparison for different equations

From table 5, it is clear that the RMSE value is least for Sherman equation. From the RMSE value, it can be concluded that Sherman equation is best fitted for this region. The IDF curve using Sherman formula is shown for Khulna city in Figure 3. From this figure, it is seen that the highest rainfall

intensity is 390.9 mm/hr for 100 year return period for duration of 0.25 hour. For the same duration but 2 year return period the rainfall intensity is noted 89.59 mm/hr.



Figure 3 : IDF curve of Khulna using Sherman equation

Finally, a hyetograph of 2hr-5yr is generated for Khulna using the Sherman equation which is best fitted for this region. The hyetograph values are shown in table 6.

| Duration (min) | Intensity (mm/hr) | Cumulative Depth (mm) | Incremental Depth (mm) | Time | Precipitation (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| 10 | 217.45 | 36.24 | 36.24 | 0-10 | 2.47 |
| 20 | 136.66 | 45.55 | 9.31 | 10-20 | 2.87 |
| 30 | 104.15 | 52.07 | 6.53 | 20-30 | 3.42 |
| 40 | 85.89 | 57.26 | 5.18 | 30-40 | 4.38 |
| 50 | 73.97 | 61.64 | 4.38 | 40-50 | 6.53 |
| 60 | 65.46 | 65.46 | 3.82 | 50-60 | 36.24 |
| 70 | 59.04 | 68.88 | 3.42 | 60-70 | 9.31 |
| 80 | 53.98 | 71.97 | 3.09 | 70-80 | 5.18 |
| 90 | 49.89 | 74.84 | 2.87 | 80-90 | 3.82 |
| 100 | 46.49 | 77.48 | 2.64 | 90-100 | 3.09 |
| 110 | 43.61 | 79.95 | 2.47 | 100-110 | 2.64 |
| 120 | 41.14 | 82.28 | 2.33 | 110-120 | 2.33 |

| Table 6 : | Generation | of 2hr-5yr | hyetograph | for Khulna |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | , | J | |

The 2hr-5yr hyetograph for Khulna city using the best fitted equation (Sherman equation) is shown in the following figure 4. In this figure it is seen that, the precipitation depth starts from 2.47 mm up to a peak of 36.24 mm then decreases to 2.33 mm.



Figure 4 : 2hr-5yr hyetograph for Khulna

4. CONCLUSIONS

For drainage design and other practical applications, most hydrological studies require short duration rainfall data which are rare in developing countries. This study has been conducted to the formulation and construction of IDF curves of Khulna using daily rainfall data using the scaling properties with three different formulas. It is shown from the comparison of RMSE values that within these three formulas, Sherman formula is best suited for Khulna. Finally, a 2hr-5yr hyetograph is generated for the study area.

REFERENCES

- Afrin S., Islam M. M., and Rahman M. M., 2015. Development of IDF Curve for Dhaka City Based on Scaling Theory under Future Precipitation Variability Due to Climate Change. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, Vol. 6, No. 5*
- Bell F. C., 1969. Generalized rainfall-duration-frequency relationship. ASCE Journal of the Hydraulic Division, Vol. 95, No. HY1, p. 311–327.
- Bernard, M.M.,1932. Formulas for rainfall intensities of long durations. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 96, 592–624.
- Burlando, P., Rosso, R., 1996. Scaling and multiscaling models of depth-duration-frequency curves for storm precipitation, *Journal of Hydrology*, 187, 45 65.
- Chen, C.L., 1983. Rainfall intensity- duration- frequency formulas. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering - ASCE, 109, 1603 - 1621.

Chow, V.T., 1964. Handbook of Applied Hydrology, McGraw-Hill, New York, pp. 1-1450.

Chowdhury R., Alam J. B., Das P. and Alam M. A. 2007. Short Duration Rainfall Estimation of Sylhet: IMD and USWB Method. *Journal of Indian Water Works Association. pp. 285-292.*

- De Michele, C., Kottegoda, N.T., Rosso, R., 2002. IDAF (intensity-duration-area-frequency) curves of extreme storm rainfall: a scaling approach. *Water Science and Technology*, 25(2), 83–90.
- Gupta, V.K., Waymire, E., 1990. Multiscaling properties of spatial and river flow distributions. Journal of Geophysical Research, 95, D3, 1999–2009.
- Hershfied, M., D., 1961. Estimating the Probable Maximum Precipitation, *Journal of the Hydraulic Division, Proceeding of the ASCE, HY5, 99-116*
- Kothyari, U.C. and Grade, R.J., 1992. Rainfall intensity duration frequency formula for India, J. Hydr. Engrg., ASCE, 118(2), 323-336.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., Kozonis, D., and Manetas, A., 1998. A mathematical framework for studying rainfall intensity: duration-frequency relationships. J., Hydrol., 206, 118-135
- Matin M. A. and Ahmed S. M. U. 1984. Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Relationship for the N-E Region of Bangladesh. *Journal of Water Resource Research*. 5(1)
- Menabde, M., Seed, A. and Pegram, G.,1999. A simple scaling model for extreme rainfall. *Water Resources Research, Vol. 35, No. 1, p. 335-339*
- Nhat, M., L., Tachikawa, Y., Sayama T., Takara, K., 2006. Derivation of rainfall intensity-durationfrequency relationships for short duration rainfall from daily data. Proc. of International Symposium on Managing Water Supply for Growing Demand, Bangkok, 16-20, October, 2006, IHP Technical Documents in Hydrology, no. 6, pp. 89-96.
- Rasel M. M., and Islam M. M., 2015. Generation off Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Relationship for the North-West Region in Bangladesh. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science*, *Toxicology and Food Technology. Vol-9, issue-9, Ver-I (Sep2014) p 4147.*
- Rashid MM, Faruque SB and Alam JB. 2012. Modelling of short duration rainfall intensity duration Frequency (SDRIDF) equation for Sylhet City in Bangladesh. *ARPN Journal of Science and Technology*. 2(2):92-95.
- Sherman, C. W., 1905. Maximum rates of rainfall at Boston, Trans. Am. \$oc. Civ. Eng., LIV, 173-181
- Yu, P.Sh., Yang, T.Ch. Lin, Ch.Sh., 2004. Regional rainfall intensity formulas based on scaling property of rainfall. *Journal of Hydrology*, 295(1-4), 108 123